The Chiral Magnetic Effect and Chiral Anomaly in $5+1$ Dimensions

Ömer F. Dayi and Mahmut Elbistan

Physics Engineering Department, Faculty of Science and Letters, Istanbul Technical University, TR-34469, Maslak–Istanbul, Turkey[1]

arXiv:1402.4727v1 [hep-th] 19 Feb 2014

The matrix valued Berry gauge field strength for the Weyl Hamiltonian in $5+1$ dimensions is calculated. In terms of it a symplectic form whose elements are matrices in spin indices is introduced. Definition of the volume form is modified appropriately. A simple method of finding the path integral measure and the chiral current in the presence of external electromagnetic fields is presented. It is shown that within this new approach the chiral anomaly as well as the chiral magnetic effect in $5+1$ dimensions are accomplished straightforwardly.

[1] *E-mail addresses:* dayi@itu.edu.tr , elbistan@itu.edu.tr

1 Introduction

Semiclassical analysis of dynamical systems naturally possesses ambiguities: It is defined within a classical phase space, though it claims to embrace quantum mechanical effects. Nevertheless, it is useful to get a better understanding of some quantum mechanical phenomena especially in many body systems. In this respect incorporating quantum mechanical effects in classical kinetic theory is of concern. An outstanding achievement for this purpose was to embody chiral anomaly in classical kinetic theory [1, 2]. In [1] $3+1$ spacetime dimensional Fermi liquid theory was modified introducing the Berry curvature which is a monopole field deforming the initial phase space [3]. They successfully obtained the chiral magnetic effect and chiral anomaly in external electromagnetic fields. The same results were accomplished in [2] by showing that the monopole field is the field strength of the Berry gauge field emerging from the Weyl Hamiltonian of a massless Dirac particle in $3+1$ dimensions.

Incorporating spin in classical description of particles is one of the intriguing questions of semiclassical dynamics. For instance in spacetime dimensions higher than $3+1$, Weyl Hamiltonians lead to the non-Abelian Berry gauge fields which are matrices in "spin indices". A formulation of anomalies in higher dimensional classical chiral kinetic theory was carried out in [4]. They surmount the difficulty of treating the matrix valued Berry gauge fields by introducing group valued degrees of freedom and "dequantizing spin". However this makes unclear the explicit form of the equations of motion which would yield a generalization of the chiral magnetic effect in higher dimensions. On the other hand, it is possible to deal with matrix valued Hamiltonians in the presence of the Berry gauge fields systematically [5]. Inspired with this approach, though following mainly the differential form methods employed in [4], we present a formalism of the classical chiral kinetic theory in $5+1$ dimensions yielding the chiral anomaly and chiral magnetic effect in external electromagnetic fields.

The main ingredient of our approach is to extend the Hamiltonian methods to cover the symplectic forms which are matrix valued in spin space. Considering $d+1$ spacetime dimensional systems, elements of the symplectic matrix are labeled as usual with the phase space indices $a, b = 1, \cdots, 2d$. But, for $d > 3$ we let them to be matrices in the spin space. Therefore, the symplectic matrix elements carry the phase space indices a, b, as well as the spin indices $\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, 2^{[(d-3)/2]}$, but, we distinguish between these indices. The former ones label the dynamical variables of the semiclassical kinetic theory where probability functions may depend only on classical phase space variables. Hence, we define the equations of motion as matrix valued in spin space. However, we need an appropriate definition of measure for the phase space path integral which should be a scalar. The most orthodox choice would be to define it as the determinant of the related $(2d\, 2^{[(d-3)/2]}) \times (2d\, 2^{[(d-3)/2]})$ dimensional matrix, considering phase space and spin indices on the same footing. However, we distinguish between the phase space and spin indices. Thus, we first ignore the spin indices and obtain the Pfaffian of symplectic matrix considering only the phase space indices a, b. This Pfaffian will be a matrix in the spin indices α, β. We define the measure as its trace. We will demonstrate that our method yields the $5+1$ dimensional chiral anomaly as well as the chiral magnetic effect conjectured in [6].

In Section 2 we recall the definition of the Berry gauge fields for Weyl Hamiltonians in general. In Section 3 the $3+1$ dimensional semiclassical chiral kinetic theory is considered using the differential form formalism of dynamical systems. We basically review the approach of [4] to the chiral (non-Abelian) anomaly though we deal only with the externeral electromagnetic fields. However, we also show how this formalism can be used to achieve the phase space measure as well as the solutions of the equations of motion for the first time derivatives of the phase space variables straightforwardly. The main results are presented in Section 4. First, for the $5+1$ dimensional Weyl Hamiltonian we explicitly calculate the non-Abelian Berry gauge field and its field strength. Then, we present a method of extending the formulation of Section 3 to cover the symplectic forms which are matrices in spin indices. We demonstrate that it permits us to find not only the chiral anomaly but also the $5+1$ dimensional chiral magnetic effect as conjectured in [6]. In Section 5 we outlined our results and discussed its applicability to some other physical system.

2 Weyl Hamiltonian and the Berry Gauge Field

The massless Dirac Hamiltonian in $d+1$ dimensions is

$$\mathcal{H} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}, \tag{1}$$

in $\hbar = c = 1$, units. $\boldsymbol{p}$ is the d dimensional momentum vector and $\alpha_{\rm A}$; $A = 1, ..., d$, are the $2^{[(d+1)/2]} \times 2^{[(d+1)/2]}$ dimensional matrices which satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{\alpha_{\rm A}, \alpha_{\rm B}\} = 2\delta_{\rm AB}. \tag{2}$$

They can be expressed as $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, in terms of the gamma matrices which obey the Clifford algebra, $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$, where the metric tensor is $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, \cdots, -1)$; $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, ..., d$.

In the chiral representation $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is block diagonal, so that (1) yields the Weyl Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm W} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}, \tag{3}$$

where $\Sigma_{\rm A}$ are $2^{[(d-1)/2]} \times 2^{[(d-1)/2]}$ matrices. Quantum mechanical description of the Weyl particle is furnished with the eigenvalue equation

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm W} \psi_E(\boldsymbol{p}) = E \psi_E(\boldsymbol{p}).$$

The energy eigenvalues are $E = (p, -p)$ where $p = |\boldsymbol{p}|$. Focusing on the positive energy solutions $|\psi^\alpha\rangle$; $\alpha = 1, ..., 2^{[\frac{d-3}{2}]}$, one can define the Berry gauge field as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm A}^{\alpha\beta} = i\langle\psi^\alpha| \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\rm A}} |\psi^\beta\rangle. \tag{4}$$

Although $\mathcal{A}$ is Abelian for $d = 3$, it becomes to be non-Abelian for higher dimensions. Thus, in general the Berry field strength is given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rm AB}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{\rm B}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial p_{\rm A}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{\rm A}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial p_{\rm B}} - i[\mathcal{A}_{\rm A}, \mathcal{A}_{\rm B}]^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{5}$$

In the following we will present the $d = 3$ and $d = 5$ Berry gauge fields explicitly.

3 The Chiral Anomaly and Chiral Magnetic Effect in $3+1$ Dimensions

In [2] it was observed that for $d = 3$, the Berry field strength extracted from the diagonalization of Weyl Hamiltonian describes a monopole located at $\boldsymbol{p} = 0$. They clarified the role of this monopole field in obtaining either the CME or the chiral anomaly. In [4] it was argued that in all even dimensions chiral (non-Abelian) anomaly arises in the presence of the Berry gauge fields within the differential form formulation of classical Hamiltonian dynamics. Here we basically review the approach of [4] considering external electromagnetic fields in $d = 3$. However, we will also show how this formalism can be employed to acquire the first time derivatives of phase space variables which are needed to formulate the CME as in [2].

In the chiral representation of gamma matrices

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ are the Pauli spin matrices, the Weyl Hamiltonian is acquired:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm W}{}^{(3)} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} = \begin{pmatrix} p_3 & p_1 - ip_2 \\ p_1 + ip_2 & -p_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

To formulate the Hamiltonian dynamics one introduces the one-form

$$\eta_H = p_a dx_a + A_a(x,t)dx_a - \mathcal{A}_a(p)dp_a - Hdt,$$

where $a = 1, 2, 3$. $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the vector potential of the external magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$:

$$F_{ab} = \frac{\partial A_b}{\partial p_a} - \frac{\partial A_a}{\partial p_b} = \epsilon_{abc} B_c.$$

$H = p + A_0$ where p is the Weyl Hamiltonian (7) diagonalized and projected onto the positive energy eigenstate. $A_0(x,t)$ is the scalar potential of the external electric field $\boldsymbol{E} = \partial \boldsymbol{A}/\partial t - \boldsymbol{\nabla} A_0$. $\mathcal{A}_a(p)$ denotes the Abelian Berry gauge field. Inspecting the positive energy solution of (7) one can show that its field strength is given in terms of $\boldsymbol{b} = \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}/2p^2$, as

$$G_{ab} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_b}{\partial p_a} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_a}{\partial p_b} = \epsilon_{abc} b_c. \tag{8}$$

It is the field of a monopole located at $\boldsymbol{p} = 0 : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = 2\pi\delta^3(p)$.

The exterior derivative of η_H provides the symplectic two-form

$$w_H = d\eta_H = dp_a \wedge dx_a + F - G - \hat{p}_a dp_a \wedge dt + E_a dx_a \wedge dt,$$

where $F = \frac{1}{2} F_{ab}\, dx_a \wedge dx_b$ and $G = \frac{1}{2} G_{ab}\, dp_a \wedge dp_b$. In terms w_H the volume form in $6+1$ dimensional phase space is defined by

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{1}{3!} w_H^3 \wedge dt. \tag{9}$$

It can be written in terms of the canonical volume element of the phase space $dV^{(3)}$ as

$$\Omega = \sqrt{w}\; dV^{(3)} \wedge dt. \tag{10}$$

Here, $\sqrt{w} \equiv \sqrt{\det(w)}$, is the Pfaffian of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{ab} & -\delta_{ab} \\ \delta_{ab} & -G_{ab} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It was calculated explicitly in [3] as $\sqrt{w} = (1 + \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})$.

The equations of motion can be derived by demanding that w_H satisfies

$$i_v w_H = 0, \tag{11}$$

which is the interior product of the vector field

$$v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{x}_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} + \dot{p}_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a},$$

with w_H. Indeed, (11) gives rise to the equations of motion obtained in [2]:

$$F_{ab}\dot{x}_b = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_a} + \frac{\partial A_a}{\partial t} + \dot{p}_a, \tag{12a}$$

$$G_{ab}\dot{p}_b = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_a} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_a}{\partial t} + \dot{x}_a. \tag{12b}$$

Liouville's equation will be provided by the time evolution of the volume form Ω which can be found by calculating its Lie derivative associated with v. It can be accomplished in two different ways. First one can employ (10) to observe that

$$L_v \Omega = (i_v d + d i_v)\left(\sqrt{w} dV^{(3)} \wedge dt\right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sqrt{w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}(\sqrt{w}\dot{x}_a) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a}(\sqrt{w}\dot{p}_a)\right) dV^{(3)} \wedge dt. \tag{13}$$

Then, one can show that the definition (9) implies

$$L_v\Omega = (i_v d + d i_v)\left(\frac{1}{3!}w_H^3 \wedge dt\right) = d i_v\left(\frac{1}{3!}w_H^3 \wedge dt\right) = \frac{1}{2}dw_H \wedge w_H^2,$$

where

$$dw_H = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial G_{ab}}{\partial p_c}dp_c \wedge dp_a \wedge dp_b.$$

Making use of (8) one can express dw_H as

$$dw_H = -(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{b})\ dp_1 \wedge dp_2 \wedge dp_3 = -2\pi\delta^3(p)\ dp_1 \wedge dp_2 \wedge dp_3.$$

The unique contribution from w_H^2 will be $E_a F_{bc} dx_a \wedge dx_b \wedge dx_c \wedge dt$, thus one finds that

$$\frac{1}{2}dw_H \wedge w_H^2 = 2\pi\delta^3(p)(\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B})\ dV^{(3)} \wedge dt.$$

One reaches the conclusion that the Liouville equation is anomalous:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sqrt{w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}(\sqrt{w}\dot{x}_a) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a}(\sqrt{w}\dot{p}_a)\right) = 2\pi\delta^3(p)\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}. \tag{14}$$

In quantum field theory the chiral anomaly is expressed as non-conservation of the classically conserved chiral current at the quantum level. In order to connect the anomaly contribution in (14) to the non-conservation of particle number introduce the probability function $f(x,p,t)$, which satisfies the collisionless Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_a}\dot{x}_a + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_a}\dot{p}_a = 0. \tag{15}$$

It is appropriate to define the probability density function as $\rho(x,p,t) = \sqrt{w}f$. Hence, define the particle number density and the particle current density:

$$n(x,t) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\rho(x,p,t), \quad j_a = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\rho(x,p,t)\dot{x}_a.$$

Utilizing (14) and (15) one can observe that

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho\dot{x}_a)}{\partial x_a} + \frac{\partial(\rho\dot{p}_a)}{\partial p_a} = 2\pi f\delta^3(p)\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}. \tag{16}$$

In order to derive non-conservation of the particle current integrate (16) over momentum degrees of freedom. There is no influx of particles from the negative energy sea as we only deal with the positive energy sector of the Weyl Hamiltonian. Thus, the momentum current density $\boldsymbol{j}_p = \rho\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}$ vanishes at the boundary of the momentum space:

$$\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{\partial(\rho\dot{p}_a)}{\partial p_a} = 0.$$

Actually, the Berry monopole situated on the boundary $|\boldsymbol{p}| = 0$ is responsible for the non-conservation of the chiral particle current. A detailed explanation was given in [2]. Therefore, the statement of non-conservation of the particle current follows,

$$\frac{\partial n(x,t)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{j} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}f(x,p=0,t)\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}.$$

To derive the CME in line with [2] one needs to solve the equations of motion (12) for $\sqrt{w}\dot{x}_a$. Obviously, here this can be done directly, because $\sqrt{w}$ has already been calculated in [3]. However,

it is possible to acquire the same result by inspecting the explicit form of w_H^3. As a byproduct the explicit form of $\sqrt{w}$ will also be provided. To present this method which will be extremely useful in higher dimensions, let us write w_H^3 explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} w_H^3 &= dp_a \wedge dx_a \wedge dp_b \wedge dx_b \wedge dp_c \wedge dx_c - 6F \wedge \mathcal{G} \wedge dp_a \wedge dx_a \\ &\quad -3\hat{p}_a dp_a \wedge dt \wedge dp_b \wedge dx_b \wedge dp_c \wedge dx_c - 6E_a F \wedge \mathcal{G} \wedge dx_a \wedge dt \\ &\quad +3E_a dx_a \wedge dt \wedge dp_b \wedge dx_b \wedge dp_c \wedge dx_c - 6\hat{p}_a F \wedge dp_a \wedge dt \wedge dp_b \wedge dx_b \\ &\quad -6E_a \mathcal{G} \wedge dx_a \wedge dt \wedge dp_b \wedge dx_b + 6\hat{p}_a F \wedge \mathcal{G} \wedge dp_a \wedge dt. \end{aligned}$$

Its Lie derivative associated with v procures

$$\begin{aligned} L_v \Omega = dw_H^3 &= \Big\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(1 + \boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}} + \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{B}(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}\cdot\boldsymbol{b})\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} \left(\boldsymbol{E} + \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times \boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B})\right) \Big\} \, dV^{(3)} \wedge dt. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Comparing (17) with (13) one can directly read $\sqrt{w}$, as well as the solutions of the equation of motions (12) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{w} &= 1 + \boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}, \\ \sqrt{w}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} + \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{B}(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}), \\ \sqrt{w}\dot{\boldsymbol{p}} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} \times \boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the particle current density $\boldsymbol{j}$ can be obtained as

$$\boldsymbol{j} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{w}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} f = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\boldsymbol{p}} f + \boldsymbol{E} \times \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\boldsymbol{b} f + \boldsymbol{B}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}\cdot\boldsymbol{b} f.$$

The last term where the current is parallel to the magnetic field is the CME term that was mentioned in [2].

4 Chiral Kinetic Theory in $5+1$ Dimensions

4.1 The Berry Gauge Field

In $5+1$ dimensions a representation of α_i; $i = 1, .., 5$, satisfying (2) can be given as the direct product of the $3+1$ dimensional gamma matrices (6) with $\sigma_0 = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1)$ and $\sigma_3 = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1)$:

$$\alpha_1 = \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_0\gamma_1, \quad \alpha_2 = \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_0\gamma_2, \quad \alpha_3 = \sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_0\gamma_3, \quad \alpha_4 = i\sigma_0 \otimes \gamma_0\gamma_5, \quad \alpha_5 = \sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_0.$$

This representation is adequate to write the massless Dirac Hamiltonian in block diagonal form in terms of the Weyl Hamiltonian (3), where the $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ matrices are

$$\Sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_a & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_a \end{pmatrix}; \ {}_{a=1,2,3}, \quad \Sigma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the Weyl Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_a p_a & i(p_4 + ip_5) \\ -i(p_4 - ip_5) & -\sigma_a p_a \end{pmatrix}.$$

We construct the normalized, positive energy eigenstates $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}}|\psi^\alpha\rangle = p|\psi^\alpha\rangle$; $\alpha = 1, 2$, as

$$|\psi^1\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{p_4^2 + p_5^2}{2p(p - p_3)}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i(p_1 - ip_2)}{p_4 - ip_5} \\ \frac{i(p - p_3)}{p_4 - ip_5} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi^2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2p(p - p_3)}} \begin{pmatrix} i(p_4 + ip_5) \\ 0 \\ p - p_3 \\ -(p_1 + ip_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Plug these degenerate eigenvectors into the definition (4) to build the non-Abelian Berry gauge field components $\mathcal{A}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_1 &= \frac{1}{2p(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} -p_2 & -i\sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2} \\ i\sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2} & p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{A}_2 &= \frac{1}{2p(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2} \\ \sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2} & -p_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{A}_3 &= 0, \\
\mathcal{A}_4 &= \frac{1}{2p(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} p_5[\frac{2p(p-p_3)}{p_4^2+p_5^2}-1] & \frac{i\sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2}(p_1+ip_2)}{p_4+ip_5} \\ \frac{-i\sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2}(p_1-ip_2)}{p_4-ip_5} & p_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{A}_5 &= \frac{1}{2p(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} -p_4[\frac{2p(p-p_3)}{p_4^2+p_5^2}-1] & \frac{-\sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2}(p_1+ip_2)}{p_4+ip_5} \\ \frac{-\sqrt{p_4^2+p_5^2}(p_1-ip_2)}{p_4-ip_5} & -p_4 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By employing (18) in the definition (5) we extract $\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ as presented in Appendix.

4.2 Liouville's Equation and the Chiral Anomaly

We would like to consider kinetic theory of the $5+1$ dimensional Weyl particles in the presence of the Berry gauge field (18) and the external electromagnetic fields $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \partial A_j/\partial x_i - \partial A_i/\partial x_j$, $\mathcal{E}_i = \partial A_0/\partial x_i - \partial A_i/\partial t$, generated by the scalar and vector potentials $A_0(x,t)$ and $\boldsymbol{A}(x,t)$. We will mainly follow the procedure of Section 3 with some modifications required to deal with spin degrees of freedom.

We start by introducing the matrix valued one-form

$$\tilde{\eta}_H = p_i dx_i + A_i dx_i - \mathcal{A}_i dp_i - H dt,$$

in the 11 dimensional extended phase space (x_i, p_i, t). We suppress the matrix indices and do not write the related 2×2 unit matrix I explicitly, unless it is necessary. Dealing with the non-Abelian fields $\mathcal{A}_i$, the appropriate definition of the matrix valued symplectic form is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_H &\equiv d\tilde{\eta}_H - i\tilde{\eta}_H \wedge \tilde{\eta}_H \\
&= dp_i \wedge dx_i + \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G} - dH \wedge dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{ij}\ dp_i \wedge dp_j$ and $\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\ dx_i \wedge dx_j$. The Hamiltonian is $H = p + A_0$.

We introduce the vector field

$$v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \dot{p}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, \tag{19}$$

and define the equations of motion in terms of its interior product with $\tilde{w}_H$ as

$$i_v \tilde{w}_H = 0. \tag{20}$$

(20) yields the following equations of motion which are plausible,

$$\mathcal{F}_{ij}\dot{x}_j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} + \dot{p}_i, \tag{21a}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}\dot{p}_j = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_i}{\partial t} + \dot{x}_i. \tag{21b}$$

We would like to emphasize that (21b) is a matrix equation for each i.

The novelty in this formulation is the fact that we do not treat spin degrees of freedom as dynamical variables. Classical dynamics is asserted through the phase space variables $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})$, so that we define the volume form as

$$\tilde{\Omega} \equiv -\frac{1}{5!}\tilde{w}_H^5 \wedge dt. \tag{22}$$

It can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{w}_{1/2}\ dV \wedge dt, \tag{23}$$

where dV is the canonical volume element (Lioville measure) of the 10 dimensional phase space (x_i, p_i). $\tilde{w}_H$ is a two-form in the phase space variables (x_i, p_i), so that $\tilde{w}_{1/2}$ is the Pfaffian of the 10×10 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{ij} & -\delta_{ij} \\ \delta_{ij} & -\mathcal{G}_{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The explicit form of $\tilde{w}_{1/2}$ will be provided in Section 4.3.

In order to obtain Liouville's equation, we consider the Lie derivative of $\tilde{\Omega}$ associated with the vector field (19). It can be expressed either using the definition (23) as

$$L_v\tilde{\Omega} = (i_v d + d i_v)\tilde{w}_{1/2}\ dV \wedge dt = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{w}_{1/2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{x}_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}(\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{p}_i)\right) dV \wedge dt, \tag{24}$$

or employing (22) as

$$L_v\tilde{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{5!}d\tilde{w}_H^5. \tag{25}$$

We can write (25) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_v\tilde{\Omega} = -\tfrac{1}{4!2}d\tilde{w}_H^2 \wedge \tilde{w}_H^3 = \ & \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{E}_i\ d\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge dx_i \wedge dt \wedge dp_j \wedge dx_j \wedge dp_k \wedge dx_k \\ & -\hat{p}_i\ d\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge dp_i \wedge dt \wedge dp_j \wedge dx_j \\ & -\mathcal{E}_i\ d\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge dx_i \wedge dt]. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

To describe quantum mechanical particles possessing spin within classical phase space we introduced matrix valued quantities representing the spin degrees of freedom. On the other hand, measure of the related path integral should be a scalar. Thus an appropriate definition of the path integral measure is needed. Basically, there are two choices: To take the trace or the determinant of $\tilde{\Omega}$ over the spin indices. However, due to the fact that $\tilde{w}_{1/2}$ can obviously be written in the form $(I + W)$ in terms of a 2×2 matrix W, its determinant is $\det(I + W) = 1 + \mathrm{Tr}\, W + \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Tr}\, W)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\, W^2$. Thus it will possess a term proportional to $\mathrm{Tr}\, \tilde{w}_{1/2}$. Therefore, we proceed adopting $\mathrm{Tr}\, \tilde{w}_{1/2}$ as the definition of the related path integral measure. One can show that the Berry curvature given in Appendix is traceless. Using the equality $\mathrm{Tr}\, d\mathcal{G} = d\mathrm{Tr}\, \mathcal{G}$ and (26) we get

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,[L_v\tilde{\Omega}] = L_v\mathrm{Tr}\,[\tilde{\Omega}] = -\frac{1}{4}(d\mathrm{Tr}\,[\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}]) \wedge \mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge dx_i \wedge dt.$$

Although it is cumbersome, making use of $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ presented in Appendix one can show explicitly that

$$\frac{1}{2}d\mathrm{Tr}\,[\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}] = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}\ dp_1 \wedge ...dp_5,$$

where $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the 5 dimensional monopole field located at $\boldsymbol{p} = 0$:

$$\boldsymbol{b} = -\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2p^5}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = -\frac{4\pi^2}{3}\delta^5(p). \tag{27}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$L_v\mathrm{Tr}\,[\tilde{\Omega}] = -\frac{\pi^2}{6}\delta^5(p)\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}\mathcal{F}_{lm}\ dV \wedge dt. \tag{28}$$

Now, by defining $\sqrt{\mathrm{w}} \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\,[\tilde{w}_{1/2}]$ and equating (24) and (28) the anomalous Liouville equation in $5+1$ dimensions is acquired:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sqrt{\mathrm{w}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\sqrt{\mathrm{w}}\dot{x}_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}(\sqrt{\mathrm{w}}\dot{p}_i) = -\frac{\pi^2}{6}\delta^5(p)\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}\mathcal{F}_{lm}. \tag{29}$$

The next step is to link (29) and the non-conservation of particle number. Introduce the probability distribution $f(x,p,t)$, which satisfies the collisionless Boltzmann equation,

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\dot{x}_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\dot{p}_i = 0, \tag{30}$$

and calculate the Lie derivative of $f\tilde{\Omega}$, with respect to the vector field v. Using (24) one finds that

$$L_v(\tilde{w}_{1/2}f)dV \wedge dt = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\tilde{w}_{1/2}f) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{x}_i f) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}(\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{p}_i f)\right) dV \wedge dt.$$

Once one defines the phase-space probability density as $\rho(x,p,t) = \sqrt{\mathrm{w}}f$, (29) and (30) lead to the non-conservation of probability:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho\dot{x}_i)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial(\rho\dot{p}_i)}{\partial p_i} = -\frac{\pi^2}{6}f\delta^5(p)\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}\mathcal{F}_{lm}. \tag{31}$$

Now, introduce the chiral particle number density $n(x,t) = \int \frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\rho$ and the chiral particle current density $j_i(x,t) = \int \frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\rho\dot{x}_i$. By integrating (31) over the momentum p_i and setting $\int \frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{\partial(\rho\dot{p}_i)}{\partial p_i} = 0$, as it was discussed in Section 3, we find

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{j} = -\frac{1}{3(4\pi)^3}f(x,p=0,t)\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}\mathcal{F}_{lm}.$$

Therefore, the chiral current is not conserved.

4.3 The Chiral Magnetic Effect

To establish the CME in $5+1$ dimensions we need to solve the equation of motion (21) for $\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{x}_i$. An efficient method is presented in Section 3. We demonstrated that solutions of the equations of motion for $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}$, can be obtained by inspecting the Lie derivative of the volume form associated with v. To express $L_v\tilde{\Omega}$ in the desired form we expose $\tilde{\Omega}$ explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_H^5 &= dp_i\wedge dx_i\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k\wedge dp_l\wedge dx_l\wedge dp_m\wedge dx_m \\
&- 20\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge dp_i\wedge dx_i\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k + 30\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge dp_i\wedge dx_i \\
&- 5\hat{p}_i dp_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k\wedge dp_l\wedge dx_l\wedge dp_m\wedge dx_m \\
&- 20\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{G}\wedge dx_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k\wedge dp_l\wedge dx_l \\
&+ 60\hat{p}_i\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge dp_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k \\
&+ 60\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge dx_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j - 30\hat{p}_i\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge dp_i\wedge dt \\
&+ 5\mathcal{E}_i dx_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k\wedge dp_l\wedge dx_l\wedge dp_m\wedge dx_m \\
&- 20\hat{p}_i\mathcal{F}\wedge dp_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k\wedge dp_l\wedge dx_l \\
&- 60\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge dx_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j\wedge dp_k\wedge dx_k \\
&+ 60\hat{p}_i\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge dp_i\wedge dt\wedge dp_j\wedge dx_j + 30\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{G}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}\wedge dx_i\wedge dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The Liouville equation (25) can now be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_v\tilde{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{5!}d\tilde{w}_H^5 &= \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{G}_{ij}+\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}(\mathcal{G}_{ij}\mathcal{G}_{kl}+\mathcal{G}_{ik}\mathcal{G}_{lj}+\mathcal{G}_{lj}\mathcal{G}_{ik})\Big)\\
&+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\Big(\hat{p}_r+\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{G}_{ri}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ij}(\hat{p}_r\mathcal{G}_{ij}+2\hat{p}_j\mathcal{G}_{ri})\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}(\mathcal{G}_{ri}\mathcal{G}_{jk}+\mathcal{G}_{jk}\mathcal{G}_{ri}+2\mathcal{G}_{rj}\mathcal{G}_{ki}+2\mathcal{G}_{ki}\mathcal{G}_{rj})\\
&+\frac{1}{64}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}\mathcal{G}_{mn}\mathcal{G}_{st}\hat{p}_p\epsilon_{rijkl}\epsilon_{mnstp}\Big) \qquad (32)\\
&+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_r}\Big(\mathcal{E}_r+\hat{p}_i\mathcal{F}_{ri}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{ij}(\mathcal{E}_r\mathcal{F}_{ij}+2\mathcal{E}_j\mathcal{F}_{ri})\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\hat{p}_i\mathcal{G}_{jk}(\mathcal{F}_{ri}\mathcal{F}_{jk}+2\mathcal{F}_{rj}\mathcal{F}_{ki})\\
&+\frac{1}{64}\mathcal{F}_{mn}\mathcal{F}_{st}\mathcal{E}_p\mathcal{G}_{ij}\mathcal{G}_{kl}\epsilon_{rijkl}\epsilon_{mnstp}\Big)\Big]dV\wedge dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparison of (32) with (24) permits to read directly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_{1/2} &= I+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{G}_{ij}+\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}(\mathcal{G}_{ij}\mathcal{G}_{kl}+\mathcal{G}_{ik}\mathcal{G}_{lj}+\mathcal{G}_{lj}\mathcal{G}_{ik})\\
\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{x}_r &= \hat{p}_r+\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{G}_{ri}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ij}(\hat{p}_r\mathcal{G}_{ij}+2\hat{p}_j\mathcal{G}_{ri})+\\
&\quad \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}(\mathcal{G}_{ri}\mathcal{G}_{jk}+\mathcal{G}_{jk}\mathcal{G}_{ri}+2\mathcal{G}_{rj}\mathcal{G}_{ki}+2\mathcal{G}_{ki}\mathcal{G}_{rj})+\frac{1}{64}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}\mathcal{G}_{mn}\mathcal{G}_{st}\hat{p}_p\epsilon_{rijkl}\epsilon_{mnstp} \qquad (33)\\
\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{p}_r &= \mathcal{E}_r+\hat{p}_i\mathcal{F}_{ri}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{ij}(\mathcal{E}_r\mathcal{F}_{ij}+2\mathcal{E}_j\mathcal{F}_{ri})+\frac{1}{2}\hat{p}_i\mathcal{G}_{jk}(\mathcal{F}_{ri}\mathcal{F}_{jk}+2\mathcal{F}_{rj}\mathcal{F}_{ki})\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{64}\mathcal{F}_{mn}\mathcal{F}_{st}\mathcal{E}_p\mathcal{G}_{ij}\mathcal{G}_{kl}\epsilon_{rijkl}\epsilon_{mnstp}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, it is possible to build the the matrix valued chiral current by means of the phase space probability function $f(x,p,t)$ and the solution (33) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}_r &= \int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\tilde{w}_{1/2}\dot{x}_r f\\
&= \int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\hat{p}_r f+\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{G}_{ri}f+\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\mathcal{F}_{ij}(\hat{p}_r\mathcal{G}_{ij}+2\hat{p}_j\mathcal{G}_{ri})f\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}(\mathcal{G}_{ri}\mathcal{G}_{jk}+\mathcal{G}_{jk}\mathcal{G}_{ri}+2\mathcal{G}_{rj}\mathcal{G}_{ki}+2\mathcal{G}_{ki}\mathcal{G}_{rj})f\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{64}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\epsilon_{rijkl}\epsilon_{mnstp}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}\mathcal{G}_{mn}\mathcal{G}_{st}\hat{p}_p f.
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to derive the $5+1$ dimensional chiral particle current we take the trace over the spin indices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_r \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\,[\tilde{j}_r] &= \int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\hat{p}_r f+\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{jk}\mathrm{Tr}\,[\mathcal{G}_{ri}\mathcal{G}_{jk}+2\mathcal{G}_{rj}\mathcal{G}_{ki}]f \qquad (34)\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{64}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}\epsilon_{rijkl}\mathrm{Tr}\,[\mathcal{G}_{mn}\mathcal{G}_{st}\hat{p}_p\epsilon_{mnstp}]f.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term in (34) gives the $5+1$ dimensional chiral magnetic effect

$$
j_r^{CME}=\frac{1}{8}\int\frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}\epsilon_{rijkl}(\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\hat{p})f,
$$

where $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the monopole field (27). For an isotropic momentum distribution $f(E)$ we can perform the angular part of the momentum integral and obtain

$$j_r^{CME} = -\frac{1}{24(2\pi)^3}\epsilon_{rijkl}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}\int dE\ f(E).$$

This is in accord with the chiral magnetic effect proposed in [6]. When the Fermi-Dirac distribution is considered, it yields $j_r^{CME} = (-\mu/24(2\pi)^3)\epsilon_{rijkl}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\mathcal{F}_{kl}$, at finite chemical potential μ.

5 Discussions

We calculated the non-Abelian Berry field arising from the $5+1$ dimensional Weyl Hamiltonian. We explicitly demonstrated that the Berry field strength procures a monopole situated at the origin of phase space. This monopole field is responsible for the chiral anomaly manifested itself in the kinetic theory of electrons as non-conservation of particle current. Moreover, we presented an efficient method of finding the path integral measure and solutions of the equations of motion for the first derivatives of the phase space variables weighted by this measure. This furnished the possibility of obtaining the chiral current directly inspecting Liouville's equation. The accomplished CME is in accord with the one conjectured in [6]. The main novelty is to keep the spin dependence explicit without attributing them some dynamical variables.

Our approach exhibited in $5+1$ dimensions can easily be generalized to higher dimensions, due to the fact that its main ingredients are valid for any $d+1 = 2n+2;\ n = 1, 2\cdots$, dimensions. Moreover, it seems that there is no obstacle of incorporating external non-Abelian gauge fields to our method in the line with [4, 8].

A semiclassical study of the massive Dirac particle engaging the non-Abelian Berry gauge fields was presented in [7]. There some dynamical variables have been associated with the spin degrees of freedom. In principle the approach of dealing with the non-Abelian Berry gauge fields presented here can be adopted to perform a similar study in the ordinary classical phase space without enlarging it with some new dynamical variables.

A The Berry curvature

The field strength of the Berry gauge field (18) is calculated as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{12} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} (p_3^2+p_4^2+p_5^2)-pp_3 & -(p_1+ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} \\ -(p_1-ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} & -(p_3^2+p_4^2+p_5^2)+pp_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{13} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3}\begin{pmatrix} p_2 & i\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} \\ -i\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} & -p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{14} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} p_1p_5-p_2p_4 & -\frac{(ip_4+p_5)\left(i(p_1p_2+p_4p_5)+p_3p-p_2^2-p_3^2-p_5^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} \\ \frac{(-ip_4+p_5)\left(i(p_1p_2+p_4p_5)-p_3p+p_2^2+p_3^2+p_5^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} & p_2p_4-p_1p_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{15} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} -p_1p_4-p_2p_5 & \frac{(p_4-ip_5)\left(i(p_1p_2-p_4p_5)+p_3p-p_2^2-p_3^2-p_4^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} \\ \frac{(p_4+ip_5)\left(-i(p_1p_2-p_4p_5)+p_3p-p_2^2-p_3^2-p_4^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} & p_1p_4+p_2p_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{23} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3}\begin{pmatrix} -p_1 & -\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} \\ -\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} & p_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{24} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} p_1p_4+p_2p_5 & \frac{(p_4-ip_5)\left(i(p_4p_5-p_1p_2)+p_3p-p_1^2-p_3^2-p_5^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} \\ \frac{(p_4+ip_5)\left(-i(p_4p_5-p_1p_2)+p_3p-p_1^2-p_3^2-p_5^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} & -p_1p_4-p_2p_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{25} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} p_1p_5-p_2p_4 & \frac{(ip_4+p_5)\left(-i(p_4p_5+p_1p_2)+p_3p-p_1^2-p_3^2-p_4^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} \\ \frac{(-ip_4+p_5)\left(i(p_4p_5+p_1p_2)+p_3p-p_1^2-p_3^2-p_4^2\right)}{\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}} & -p_1p_5+p_2p_4 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{34} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3}\begin{pmatrix} -p_5 & \frac{i(p_1+ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}}{p_4+ip_5} \\ \frac{-i(p_1-ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}}{p_4-ip_5} & p_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{35} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3}\begin{pmatrix} p_4 & \frac{-(p_1+ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}}{p_4+ip_5} \\ \frac{-(p_1-ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)}}{p_4-ip_5} & -p_4 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{45} &= \tfrac{1}{2p^3(p-p_3)}\begin{pmatrix} (p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2)-pp_3 & (p_1+ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} \\ (p_1-ip_2)\sqrt{(p_4^2+p_5^2)} & -(p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2)+pp_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] D. T. Son and N. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 181602

[2] M. A. Stephanov and Y. Yin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 162001.

[3] C. Duval, Z. Horváth, P. A. Horváthy, L. Martina, and P. Stichel, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 20 (2006) 373.

[4] V. Dwivedi and M. Stone, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47 (2014) 125401.

[5] Ö. F. Dayi, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008) 315204.

[6] R. Loganayagam and P. Surowka, J. High Energy Phys. JHEP 04 (2012) 097.

[7] J-W. Chen, J. Pang and S. Pu, Q. Wang, Non-Abelian Berry phase in a semi-classical description of massive Dirac fermions, arXiv: 1312.2032.

[8] V. Dwivedi and M. Stone, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 045012.